

# Jakarta EE Spec Committee - September 30th, 2020

*Attendees (present in bold):*

**Kenji Kazumura** - Fujitsu  
Dan Bandera - IBM - **Kevin Sutter**  
**Ed Bratt** - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**  
**Andrew Pielage** - Payara - **Matt Gill**  
**Scott Stark** - Red Hat - Mark Little, **Scott Marlow**  
**David Blevins** - Tomitribe - **Jean-Louis Monteiro**, **Cesar Hernandez**  
**Ivar Grimstad** - PMC Representative  
Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg  
**Werner Keil** - Committer Member  
Scott (Congquan) Wang - Primeton - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: **Tanja Obradovic**, **Paul Buck**, **Wayne Beaton**

Reference: [EFSP](#), [JESP](#)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the September 16th and 23rd meetings as drafted - Approved.

## Agenda:

- Jakarta EE 9 Specification ballot tracking spreadsheet:  
[https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2\\_UGwoX2w0seOCulseRO3sQJijWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1YTUpfdLZZrk2_UGwoX2w0seOCulseRO3sQJijWxpDAa7g/edit#gid=0)
- Is using the same group/artifacts coords as EE8 the right thing for EE9? [Scott S.]
  - See email thread initiated on Sep 18, 2020, 9:50 PM on the Spec Committee list by Scott for background.
  - <https://www.eclipse.org/lists/jakarta.ee-spec/msg00891.html>

**Discussed:** Is there a use case to have concurrent access to both API's? Consider for a service release ie. 9.1? Continue as needed on the mailing list.
- We may need maintenance releases of certain TCKs very shortly after some of their final ballots [David B.]
  - Some TCKs have spec assertion files that have invalid javax references
  - Some of the TCKs have javax in the fallback signature files. Affects any testing on JDKs later than 11.

**Discussed:** Fixups needed at some point. File bugs/issues and fix in a future release (maintenance?).
- Do PRs with named snapshot builds need to be replaced with a “release candidate” builds? [David]

**Discussion:** i) Tag the snapshot build and assure that it is kept around (accessible from a public source and never deleted). If weekly build is used, the project team needs to

assure the build is suitably archived ii) Document the requirement and see that others follow. iii) Future release use only milestone or release candidate builds

**Proposal:** Request that the servlet team to do i) and draft text to update operations guide based on the discussion for review

- Individual Jakarta EE specifications not in Jakarta EE 9 [Ivar]
    - Jakarta NoSQL ready for **Progress** Review  
<https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/236>
    - Heads-Up: MVC **Release** Review on the way  
<https://github.com/jakartaee/specifications/pull/281>
    - Suggested update to Operations Guide for ballot initiation for individual specs not in Jakarta EE 9  
<https://github.com/jakartaee/jakarta.ee/pull/908/files>
- Action:** Please review the above PR and add your comments and/or support.