

Jakarta EE Spec Committee - November 16th, 2022

Attendees (present in bold):

Kenji Kazumura - Fujitsu

Tom Watson - IBM - **Emily Jiang**

Ed Bratt - Oracle - **Dmitry Kornilov**

Andrew Pielage - Payara - **Petr Aubrecht**

David Blevins - Tomitribe - Jean-Louis Monteiro, Cesar Hernandez

Ivar Grimstad - PMC Representative

Marcelo Ancelmo - Participant Member - Martijn Verburg

Werner Keil - Committer Member

Scott Stark - Red Hat - **Scott Marlow** Enterprise Member

Zhai Luchao - Shandong Cvicse Middleware Co. - Enterprise Member

Eclipse Foundation: Tanja Obradovic, **Paul Buck** (chair)

Past business / action items:

- Approval is requested for the meeting minutes from the November 2nd, 2022 meeting as drafted - Approved.

Agenda:

- Ongoing tracking [spreadsheet](#) of individual specs progressing through the [JESP](#)
 - Inprogress - <https://jakarta.ee/about/jesp/> being updated and Paul to send email to discussion mailing list to communicate the availability of JESP 1.4
- PR 1517 was merged, email to be sent to notify projects/leads and via the appropriate mailing lists [Scott S.]
- Approval of Jakarta Security Restructuring [Scott]
 - Merge the 3 security specification projects into one, see issue #392
 - <https://gitlab.eclipse.org/eclipsefdn/emo-team/emo/-/issues/392>
 - Specification Committee was asked if there were any objections to proceed w/ the merge as documented in issue #392
 - No objections were raised, this request is approved
- Work on and resolve Specification Committee's process enhancements items including those identified in the Jakarta EE 10 retrospective:
 - Issues with the "enhancement" tag
<https://github.com/jakartaee/specification-committee/issues>
 - Enhancement issues in a project board
<https://github.com/orgs/jakartaee/projects/1/views/1>

- Does the EFSP mandate that authoritative sources live within a specification project?
That is source input that ends up producing the final specification content [Tom]
 - Concerned about the situation w/ MyFaces
<https://github.com/jakartaee/faces/blob/354746532d8a325f7114c53ff33ce880aea4243/api/pom.xml#L313-L350>
 - API JAR's are provided as a convenience, they are not normative. The JavaDoc and signature tests are part of the spec and therefore normative.
 - Is it a requirement that the sources used to create normative artifacts required to be managed as part of the specification project's sources?
 - Is there a situation where a committer may have merged an artifact from sources outside of the project that has provenance elsewhere?
 - Is there a situation where there is a committer on an open source project that is not a committer on the specification project, where that committer has contributed to an artifact that is normative?
 - Need to establish policy (if required) that apply to all specs
 - **Register** for JakartaOne Livestream Today! The registration link is [here](#)
- Proposal - Invite Wayne Beaton to the next meeting (30th) to explore this topic further.